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Fukuoka University introduction

- Private university
  - 85th anniversary in May 2019
  - Connected to internet in 1993
- Location: Fukuoka City, Fukuoka Prefecture, JAPAN
- 9 faculties (31 departments)
- 10 graduate courses (33 specialties)
- Approximately 20,000 students
- Attached facilities
  - Hospital: 3
  - High school: 2
  - Junior high school: 1

AS: 18148
Prefix: 133.100.0.0/16, 2405:be00::/32
Objectives

- We would like to share the current status of Fukuoka University Public NTP Service
- Determine the cause of NTP traffic because we are troubled with much NTP traffic
- Reduce and stop NTP traffic
What is NTP

- Network Time Protocol
  - Synchronizes time over networks
  - UDP 123 Port
- Packet size normally 90 bytes
  - Send and receive packets basically the same size
Background

- Commenced a public NTP service in October 1993 at Fukuoka University
- First public NTP service using GPS in Japan
  - 133.100.9.2
  - 133.100.11.8 (already ceased the service)
  - Very little traffic compared to 133.100.9.2
NTP Traffic

- Only NTP

Maximum: 256 Mbps
340,000 Packet / s !!
Traffic analysis by country

- Top 20 ranking of NTP request by the country
  - Analysis results of June 2019

- Brazil, Argentina and Mexico account for Approx. 26% of traffic

- Access from 239 countries and territories from around the world

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>PPS</th>
<th>Mbps</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>56,039</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>39,468</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>20,688</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>14,905</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>12,042</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>11,646</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>10,329</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>9,451</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>8,721</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>6,613</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>6,274</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>6,120</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>5,969</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>5,595</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>5,054</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>3,545</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>3,340</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>3,261</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>3,094</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>2,979</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>others</td>
<td>73,832</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>308,965</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Traffic analysis by countries/regions participating in LACNIC

- Top 20 ranking of NTP request by the countries/regions participating in LACNIC

- Analysis result of June 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Countries / regions participating in LACNIC</th>
<th>PPS</th>
<th>Mbps</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>56,039</td>
<td>42.40</td>
<td>58.66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>20,688</td>
<td>15.80</td>
<td>21.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>5,969</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>6.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>2,788</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>2,196</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>2.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela</td>
<td>1,698</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>887</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>769</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Plurinational State of Bolivia</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Guyana</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Curaçao</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>others</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>95,538</td>
<td>72.50</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Increase in traffic volumes

- Traffic continues to increase

![Graph showing increase in traffic volumes from 2015 to 2019. The x-axis represents years (2015-2019) and the y-axis represents traffic in Mbps and PPS (Packet per Second)). The data shows a steady increase in traffic volumes over the years.]
Why is it so popular in the world?

- written in manual as setting example
  - Network devices such as L2, L3 switch
  - Multifunction device, etc.

Example

Configure the system time mode as NTP, the time zone is UTC-12:00, the primary NTP server is **133.100.9.2** and the secondary NTP server is 139.78.100.163, the fetching-rate is 11 hours:

```
TL-SG3424(config)# system-time ntp UTC-12:00 133.100.9.2 139.79.100.163 11
```
Why is it so popular? (2)

- It’s embedded as default setting
- But this is just the tip of the iceberg. We believe that this is not the only reason for the increase in traffic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frame 93: 90 bytes on wire (720 bits), 90 bytes captured (720 bits) on interface 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flags: 0x1b, Leap Indicator: no warning, Version number: NTP Version 3, Mode: client</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Clock Stratum: unspecified or invalid (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Polling Interval: 4 (16 sec)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Clock Precision: 0.015625 sec</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root Delay: 1.0000 sec</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root Dispersion: 1.0000 sec</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference ID: NULL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference Timestamp: Jan 1, 1970 00:00:00.000000000 UTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origin Timestamp: Jan 1, 1970 00:00:00.000000000 UTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receive Timestamp: Jan 1, 1970 00:00:00.000000000 UTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmit Timestamp: Jan 1, 2014 00:01:16.005072000 UTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The Campus Network is detached from the Public NTP Server
So the NTP traffic does not affect the campus network
The public NTP Service Network only is connected to the BGP
Why not stop the service or filter it?
NTP Service Stop Experiment

- This experiment aims to confirm the movement of request packets when the NTP Service goes offline.

- Time of experiment (UTC+0900)
  - 30 June 2019 (Sun) 9am to 5pm

- Target
  - All NTP requests sent to 133.100.9.2 were disposed of in the NTP’s BGP router.
Results of Experiment

- Increased to 740Mbps, 950,000pps
- Increase in bandwidth approx. 500Mbps, 500,000pps
Traffic Patterns

- On concentrating on a specific IP address during the stoppage experiment the analysis showed there were the following 3 patterns:
  - Requests at 5 and 10 minute intervals
    - crontab: 5/* * * * * ntpdate 133.100.9.2
  - Access at a specific interval (e.g. 1024 sec)
    - ntpd or similar software
  - During the stoppage the requests increased, and decreased after the service was resumed
    - Why is this?
    - Causes of increased traffic
Results of the experiment

- Traffic increased when the NTP service stops
  - Some installation causing the extreme increase exists

- We do not know how far the traffic will increase will continue
  - This experiment was only over a short period of time, we will conduct a longer experiment in the future

- We cannot simply stop the service
Frequently Asked Questions(1)

- Why don’t you get it filtered upstream?
- This is technically possible, however it will be difficult to maintain this filter over a long period of time
  - It is embedded in firmware packets of numerous devices so we predict that it will continue for a long time
  - For example, if the filter was inadvertently deleted, there would be huge volumes of requests flooding Fukuoka University
Frequently Asked Questions(2)

- Why don’t you send an erroneous time?
- This is technically possible, but we worry about the ramifications
  - Access comes from various types of NTP clients, and we cannot predict what ramifications an erroneous time would have
  - For example, if a device could not operate due to the erroneous time, the end user would be the first to be adversely affected
Frequently Asked Questions (3)

- How are you considering terminating the service?
- We are considering using the following method using routes such as BGP
  - Gathering request packets in a specific location in our network and dumping them in a black hole.
  - Stop advertising prefixes that include our NTP Server
- We intend to constantly monitor and analyze traffic
Summary

■ Statistics of our public NTP servers
  ○ Approximately 340,000 requests per second
  ○ Presently statistics shows gradual increase

■ Origin of the NTP clients
  ○ Throughout the world

■ Implications for the Fukuoka University network...
  ○ Further increasing is not desirable

■ What happens if we stop the NTP service now...
  ○ Retry packets will naturally DoS to our network
Request

- Please do not use our NTP servers
  - Even if it is in the manual do not use it
  - We would like you to check the NTP settings of your broadband router and IoT devices

- To firmware developers
  - Please confirm you do not have 133.100.9.2 nor 133.100.11.8 as default NTP servers
  - If you do, please change them

- To manual authors
  - Please do not list 133.100.9.2 and 133.100.11.8 as NTP servers

Contact information: Sho FUJIMURA (ntp-admin@fukuoka-u.ac.jp)
Conclusion

- We would like to take measures by determining the cause of NTP traffic
- Reduce NTP traffic because of its concentrated nature
- Stop public NTP service to the world

We sincerely appreciate your cooperation.

Contact information: Sho FUJIMURA (ntp-admin@fukuoka-u.ac.jp)
Thank you very much for your kind attention.

Contact information: Sho FUJIMURA (ntp-admin@fukuoka-u.ac.jp)